

A New Proof of the Direct Part of Stein's Lemma in Quantum Hypothesis Testing

Tomohiro Ogawa*

Masahito Hayashi†

Abstract— The direct part of Stein's lemma in quantum hypothesis testing is revisited based on a key operator inequality between a density operator and its pinching. The operator inequality is used to show a simple proof of the direct part of Stein's lemma without using Hiai-Petz's theorem, along with an operator monotone function, and in addition it is also used to show a new proof of Hiai-Petz's theorem.

Keywords— Hypothesis testing, Stein's lemma, Hiai-Petz's theorem, quantum relative entropy, quantum information theory

1 Introduction

Quantum hypothesis testing is a fundamental problem in quantum information theory, because it is one of the most simple problem where the difficulty derived from noncommutativity of operators appears. It is also closely related to other topics in quantum information theory, as in classical information theory. Actually, its relation with quantum channel coding is discussed in [1] [2].

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space which represents a physical system in interest. We assume $d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$ for mathematical simplicity. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and define the set of density operators on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\rho \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \rho = \rho^* \geq 0, \text{Tr}[\rho] = 1\}. \quad (1)$$

We study the hypothesis testing problem for the null hypothesis $H_0 : \rho_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho^{\otimes n} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ versus the alternative hypothesis $H_1 : \sigma_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma^{\otimes n} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$, where $\rho^{\otimes n}$ and $\sigma^{\otimes n}$ are the n th tensor powers of arbitrarily given density operators ρ and σ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$.

The problem is to decide which hypothesis is true based on the data drawn from a quantum measurement, which is described by a positive operator valued measure (POVM) on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, i.e., a resolution of identity $\sum_i M_{n,i} = I_n$ by nonnegative operators $M_n = \{M_{n,i}\}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$. If a POVM consists of projections on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, it is called a projection valued measure (PVM). In the hypothesis testing problem, however, it is sufficient to treat a two-valued POVM $\{M_0, M_1\}$, where the subscripts 0 and 1 indicate the acceptance of H_0 and H_1 , respectively. Thus, an operator $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ satisfying inequalities $0 \leq A_n \leq I_n$ is called a test in the sequel, since A_n is identified with the POVM $\{A_n, I_n - A_n\}$. For a test A_n , the error probabilities of the first kind and the second kind are, respectively, defined by

$$\alpha_n(A_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}[\rho_n(I_n - A_n)], \quad \beta_n(A_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}[\sigma_n A_n]. \quad (2)$$

Let us define

$$\beta_n^*(\varepsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{\beta_n(A_n) \mid A_n : \text{test}, \alpha_n(A_n) \leq \varepsilon\}, \quad (3)$$

and the quantum relative entropy:

$$D(\rho \parallel \sigma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}[\rho(\log \rho - \log \sigma)]. \quad (4)$$

Then we have the following theorem, which is one of the most essential theorem in quantum information theory.

*Department of Mathematical Informatics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033 Japan. (e-mail: ogawa@sr3.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

†Laboratory for Mathematical Neuroscience, Brain Science Institute, RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama, 351-0198 Japan. (e-mail: masahito@brain.riken.go.jp)

Theorem 1 (Stein's lemma)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \beta_n^*(\varepsilon) = -D(\rho \parallel \sigma). \quad (5)$$

The first proof of (5) was composed of two inequalities. One is the direct part given by Hiai-Petz [3]:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \beta_n^*(\varepsilon) \leq -D(\rho \parallel \sigma), \quad (6)$$

which takes an equivalent form (see [4]):

$$\exists \{A_n : \text{test}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{such that} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(A_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \beta_n(A_n) \leq -D(\rho \parallel \sigma), \quad (7)$$

and the other is the converse part given by Ogawa-Nagaoka [4]. A direct proof of the equality (5) was also given by Hayashi [5] using the information spectrum approach in quantum setting [6].

Preceding the direct part (6), Hiai-Petz [3] proved an important theorem which is explained as follows. Let $D_{M_n}(\rho_n \parallel \sigma_n)$ be the classical relative entropy (Kullback divergence) between the probability distributions $\{\text{Tr}[\rho_n M_{n,i}]\}$ and $\{\text{Tr}[\sigma_n M_{n,i}]\}$. Then the monotonicity [7] [8] of the quantum relative entropy yields

$$D(\rho \parallel \sigma) \geq \frac{1}{n} D_{M_n}(\rho_n \parallel \sigma_n) \quad (8)$$

for any POVM M_n , and there exists a POVM that attains the equality if and only if ρ and σ mutually commute. In other words, the right-hand side (RHS) of (8) is less than the quantum relative entropy for any POVM in general. In this situation, however, Hiai-Petz proved that the RHS of (8) with good POVMs can achieve the quantum relative entropy asymptotically as follows.

Theorem 2 (Hiai-Petz [3])

$$D(\rho \parallel \sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{M_n} D_{M_n}(\rho_n \parallel \sigma_n), \quad (9)$$

where the supremum is taken over the set of POVMs on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$.

They combined (9) with the classical hypothesis testing problem to show the direct part (6). Another proof of Hiai-Petz's theorem (9) was also given by Hayashi [9] using the representation theory of the general linear group on $\mathcal{H}$. In the original proof of Hiai-Petz's theorem (9), the pinching $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_n}(\rho_n)$ defined in Appendix A played an important role. Since $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_n}(\rho_n)$ commutes with σ_n , they are diagonalized simultaneously as follows

$$\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_n}(\rho_n) = \sum_j \lambda_{n,j} M_{n,j}, \quad \sigma_n = \sum_j \mu_{n,j} M_{n,j}. \quad (10)$$

Finally $M_n = \{M_{n,j}\}$ was shown to be a PVM that attains the quantum relative entropy, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{n} D(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_n}(\rho_n) \parallel \sigma_n) = \frac{1}{n} D_{M_n}(\rho_n \parallel \sigma_n) \longrightarrow D(\rho \parallel \sigma) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (11)$$

In order to connect Hiai-Petz's theorem (9) with the direct part (6) and (7), we needed to apply Stein's lemma in classical hypothesis testing so far, as mentioned above, considering independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) extensions of the probability distributions $\{\text{Tr}[\rho_n M_{n,i}]\}$ and $\{\text{Tr}[\sigma_n M_{n,i}]\}$. The purpose of this manuscript is to show a direct proof of (6) and (7) after [5], based on a key operator inequality, without using the achievability of the information quantity (9) nor i.i.d. extensions of the probability distributions. As is mentioned by Nagaoka [6], the proof also leads to Hiai-Petz's theorem (9) consequently. Here a direct proof of Hiai-Petz's theorem (9) is also shown using the key operator inequality as well as a proof by way of the direct part of Stein's lemma (7).

2 The Direct Part of Stein's Lemma

In the sequel, let us denote $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_n}(\rho_n)$ as $\overline{\rho_n}$ for simplicity, and let $v(\sigma_n)$ be the number of eigenvalues of σ_n mutually different from others as defined in Appendix A. A key operator inequality¹ follows from Lemma 2 in Appendix B, which was originally appeared in [5].

Lemma 1

$$\rho_n \leq v(\sigma_n) \overline{\rho_n}. \quad (12)$$

Note that the type counting lemma (see *e.g.* [10], Theorem 12.1.1) provides

$$v(\sigma_n) \leq (n+1)^d. \quad (13)$$

Following [5], let us apply the operator monotonicity of the function $x \mapsto -x^{-s}$ ($0 \leq s \leq 1$) (see *e.g.* [11]) to the key operator inequality (12) so that we have

$$\overline{\rho_n}^{-s} \leq v(\sigma_n)^s \rho_n^{-s}. \quad (14)$$

Here, let us define the projection $\{X > 0\}$ for a Hermitian operator $X = \sum_i x_i E_i$ as

$$\{X > 0\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i: x_i > 0} E_i. \quad (15)$$

Now we focus on a test defined with a real parameter a by

$$\overline{S}_n(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\overline{\rho_n} - e^{na} \sigma_n > 0\}, \quad (16)$$

which satisfies the following theorem.

Theorem 3 For $0 \leq \forall s \leq 1$, we have

$$\alpha_n(\overline{S}_n(a)) \leq (n+1)^{sd} e^{n[as - \psi(s)]}, \quad (17)$$

$$\beta_n(\overline{S}_n(a)) \leq e^{-na}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\psi(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\log \text{Tr} [\rho \sigma^{\frac{s}{2}} \rho^{-s} \sigma^{\frac{s}{2}}]. \quad (19)$$

Proof: Since the definition of $\overline{S}_n(a)$ provides $(\overline{\rho_n} - e^{na} \sigma_n) \overline{S}_n(a) \geq 0$, the upper bound on $\beta_n(\overline{S}_n(a))$ is given by

$$\text{Tr} [\sigma_n \overline{S}_n(a)] \leq e^{-na} \text{Tr} [\overline{\rho_n} \overline{S}_n(a)] \leq e^{-na}. \quad (20)$$

On the other hand, commutativity of operators $\overline{\rho_n}$ and σ_n leads to $\overline{S}_n(a) = \{\overline{\rho_n}^s - e^{nas} \sigma_n^s > 0\}$ for $\forall s \geq 0$, and hence we have $(\overline{\rho_n}^s - e^{nas} \sigma_n^s)(I_n - \overline{S}_n(a)) \leq 0$. Note that $\overline{S}_n(a)$ also commutes with σ_n . Therefore, taking the property of the pinching (29) in Appendix A into account, $\alpha_n(\overline{S}_n(a))$ is bounded above as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [\rho_n (I_n - \overline{S}_n(a))] &= \text{Tr} [\overline{\rho_n} (I_n - \overline{S}_n(a))] \\ &= \text{Tr} [\overline{\rho_n}^{1-s} \overline{\rho_n}^s (I_n - \overline{S}_n(a))] \\ &\leq e^{nas} \text{Tr} [\overline{\rho_n}^{1-s} \sigma_n^s (I_n - \overline{S}_n(a))] \\ &\leq e^{nas} \text{Tr} [\overline{\rho_n}^{1-s} \sigma_n^s]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Using (14), (21) is bounded above further as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [\rho_n (I_n - \overline{S}_n(a))] &\leq e^{nas} \text{Tr} [\rho_n \sigma_n^{\frac{s}{2}} \overline{\rho_n}^{-s} \sigma_n^{\frac{s}{2}}] \\ &\leq v(\sigma_n)^s e^{nas} \text{Tr} [\rho_n \sigma_n^{\frac{s}{2}} \rho_n^{-s} \sigma_n^{\frac{s}{2}}] \\ &\leq (n+1)^{sd} e^{n[as - \psi(s)]}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the last inequality follows from (13). ■

¹ Although the way to derive the operator inequality and the definition of $v(\sigma_n)$ are different from those of [5], it results in the same one as [5] in the case that both of ρ_n and σ_n are tensored states.

Observing that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi'(0) = D(\rho\|\sigma)$, we can see that for $\forall a < D(\rho\|\sigma)$ there exists $0 \leq s \leq 1$ such that $as - \psi(s) < 0$. Therefore $\alpha_n(\bar{S}_n(a))$ goes to 0 by (17) with its exponent greater than $\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \{-as + \psi(s)\} > 0$, which leads to a direct proof of (6) and (7) combined with (18) as asserted.

3 Hiai-Petz's Theorem

As pointed out by Nagaoka [6], the direct part (7) leads to Hiai-Petz's theorem (9) as follows. For any test A_n , the monotonicity [7] [8] of the quantum relative entropy provides

$$\begin{aligned} D(\rho\|\sigma) &= \frac{1}{n} D(\rho_n\|\sigma_n) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} d(\alpha_n(A_n)\|1 - \beta_n(A_n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \{-h(\alpha_n(A_n)) - \alpha_n(A_n) \log(1 - \beta_n(A_n)) - (1 - \alpha_n(A_n)) \log \beta_n(A_n)\} \\ &\geq -\frac{\log 2}{n} - (1 - \alpha_n(A_n)) \frac{1}{n} \log \beta_n(A_n), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where

$$d(p\|q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p \log \frac{p}{q} + (1 - p) \log \frac{1 - p}{1 - q}, \quad h(p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -p \log p - (1 - p) \log(1 - p). \quad (24)$$

Thus a sequence of test $\{A_n\}$ satisfying (7) yields

$$D(\rho\|\sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} d(\alpha_n(A_n)\|1 - \beta_n(A_n)), \quad (25)$$

which means Hiai-Petz's theorem (9).

On the other hand, a direct proof of Hiai-Petz's theorem (9) is shown as follows. Let us apply the operator monotonicity of the function $x \mapsto \log x$ (see *e.g.* [11]) to the key operator inequality (12) so that we have

$$\log \rho_n \leq \log \bar{\rho}_n + \log v(\sigma_n), \quad (26)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(\rho\|\sigma) &= \frac{1}{n} D(\rho_n\|\sigma_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \{\text{Tr} [\rho_n \log \rho_n] - \text{Tr} [\rho_n \log \sigma_n]\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \{\text{Tr} [\rho_n \log \bar{\rho}_n] - \text{Tr} [\rho_n \log \sigma_n] + \log v(\sigma_n)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \{\text{Tr} [\bar{\rho}_n \log \bar{\rho}_n] - \text{Tr} [\bar{\rho}_n \log \sigma_n]\} + \frac{1}{n} \log v(\sigma_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} D(\bar{\rho}_n\|\sigma_n) + \frac{1}{n} \log(n + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the last inequality follows from (13). Combined with (8), the above inequality leads to (11).

4 Concluding Remarks

We have shown a new proof of the direct part of Stein's lemma in quantum hypothesis testing without using Hiai-Petz's theorem, based on a key operator inequality satisfied by a density operator and its pinching. Compared with [5], the proof is simple and leads to the exponential convergence of the error probability of the first kind. The operator inequality has been also used to show a new proof of Hiai-Petz's theorem.

The original proof of Hiai-Petz's theorem was drawn from the joint convexity of the quantum relative entropy and some of its properties. The joint convexity is shown by applying the operator convexity of the function $-\log x$ to the relative modular operator, today. On the other hand, our proof has been completed with less mathematical preparations because it is given only by the operator monotonicity of the function $\log x$.

Appendices

A Definition of the Pinching

In this appendix, we summarize the definition of the pinching and some of its properties. Given an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, let $A = \sum_{i=1}^{v(A)} a_i E_i$ be its spectral decomposition, where $v(A)$ is the number of eigenvalues of A mutually different from others, and each E_i is the projection corresponding to an eigenvalue a_i . The following map defined by using the PVM $E = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{v(A)}$ is called the pinching:

$$\mathcal{E}_A : B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \mapsto \mathcal{E}_A(B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{v(A)} E_i B E_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (28)$$

The operator $\mathcal{E}_A(B)$ is also called the pinching when no confusion is likely to arise, and it is sometimes denoted as $\mathcal{E}_B(B)$. It should be noted here that $\mathcal{E}_A(B)$ commutes with A and we have

$$\text{Tr}[BC] = \text{Tr}[\mathcal{E}_A(B)C] \quad (29)$$

for any operator $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ commuting with A .

B Proof of the Key Operator Inequality

The following lemma was appeared in [5], and played an important role in this manuscript. We show another proof later as well as the original proof for readers' convenience.

Lemma 2 (Hayashi [5]) *Given a PVM $M = \{M_i\}_{i=1}^{v(M)}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, we have for $\forall \rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$*

$$\rho \leq v(M) \mathcal{E}_M(\rho), \quad (30)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_M(\rho)$ is the pinching defined in Appendix A.

Proof: It is sufficient to prove the operator inequality for a pure state $|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ as follows. For $\forall \psi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle\psi| (v(M) \mathcal{E}_M(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|) - |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|) |\psi\rangle = v(M) \sum_{i=1}^{v(M)} |\langle\psi|M_i|\varphi\rangle|^2 - \left| \sum_{i=1}^{v(M)} \langle\psi|M_i|\varphi\rangle \right|^2 \geq 0, \quad (31)$$

which follows from Schwarz's inequality about complex vectors $(\langle\psi|M_i|\varphi\rangle)_{i=1}^{v(M)}$ and $(1)_{i=1}^{v(M)}$. ■

We can also show another proof of Lemma 2 by using the following operator convexity.

Lemma 3 *Given a nonnegative operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, the following map is operator convex.*

$$f_A : X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \mapsto X^* A X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (32)$$

In other words, we have

$$f_A(tX + (1-t)Y) \leq t f_A(X) + (1-t) f_A(Y) \quad (33)$$

for $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof: The assertion is shown by a direct calculation as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & t f_A(X) + (1-t) f_A(Y) - f_A(tX + (1-t)Y) \\ &= t X^* A X + (1-t) Y^* A Y - [tX + (1-t)Y]^* A [tX + (1-t)Y] \\ &= t(1-t) [X^* A X - X^* A Y - Y^* A X + Y^* A Y] \\ &= t(1-t) (X - Y)^* A (X - Y) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

■

Now Lemma 2 is verified by using Lemma 3 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{v(M)^2} \rho &= \left(\frac{1}{v(M)} \sum_{i=1}^{v(M)} M_i \right) \rho \left(\frac{1}{v(M)} \sum_{i=1}^{v(M)} M_i \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{v(M)} \sum_{i=1}^{v(M)} M_i \rho M_i \\
&= \frac{1}{v(M)} \mathcal{E}_M(\rho).
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Prof. Hiroshi Nagaoka. He encouraged them to show a simple proof of the direct part of Stein's lemma in quantum hypothesis testing pointing out that the proof leads to Hiai-Petz's theorem.

This research was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists, 13750058, 2001.

References

- [1] T. Ogawa and H. Nagaoka, "A new proof of the channel coding theorem via hypothesis testing in quantum information theory," submitted to ISIT2002, 2001.
- [2] M. Hayashi and H. Nagaoka, "A general formula for the classical capacity of a general quantum channel," submitted to ISIT2002, 2001.
- [3] F. Hiai and D. Petz, "The proper formula for relative entropy and its asymptotics in quantum probability," *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 143, pp. 99–114, 1991.
- [4] T. Ogawa and H. Nagaoka, "Strong converse and Stein's lemma in quantum hypothesis testing," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-46, pp. 2428–2433, 2000.
- [5] M. Hayashi, "Optimal sequence of POVMs in the sense of Stein's lemma in quantum hypothesis testing," LANL e-print quant-ph/0107004, 2001.
- [6] H. Nagaoka, "On asymptotic theory of quantum hypothesis testing," Proceeding of Symposium "Statistical inference theory and its information theoretical aspect," pp. 49–52, 1998, (In Japanese).
- [7] G. Lindblad, "Completely positive maps and entropy inequalities," *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 40, pp. 147–151, 1975.
- [8] A. Uhlmann, "Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory," *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 54, pp. 21–32, 1977.
- [9] M. Hayashi, "Asymptotics of quantum relative entropy from a representation theoretical viewpoint," *J. Phys. A: Math. and Gen.*, vol. 34, pp. 3413, 2001, (Originally appeared in LANL e-print quant-ph/9704040, 1997).
- [10] T. Cover and J. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1991.
- [11] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1997.